

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/785,862	02/16/2001	Rocky Stewart	BEAS-01033US6 SRM/KFK	6339
23910	7590 06/14/2004		EXAM	INER
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400			LIN, WI	EN TAI
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111		2154	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/785,862	STEWART ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	Wen-Tai Lin	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply		·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F	ebruary 2001.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) $\Box$ Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-6,10-11</u>.</li> </ol>	5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/785,862

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chaar et al.[U.S. Pat. No. 5960404].
- 4. As to claim 1, Chaar teaches the invention as claimed including: aworkflow integration system for a collaboration system that allows for sharing of workflow information between collaboration participants [e.g., sharing the same work list among multiple performers; claim 21], comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/785,862

Art Unit: 2154

- a collaboration server having stored thereon an enterprise workflow [1230, 765, Fig.12; col.14, line 59 col.15, line 12];
- a workflow server having stored thereon a participant workflow [630,
   620, Fig.12]; and
- an integration mechanism for allowing said enterprise workflow and said participant workflow to interact with one another [Abstract; col.4, lines 26-31; col.12, lines 32-54].
- 5. As to claim 2, Chaar further teaches that the enterprise workflow includes workflow process information for an enterprise project including two or more participants [i.e., Performer 1 and 2, Fig.16].
- 6. As to claim 3, Chaar further teaches that the participant workflow includes workflow process information for a project local to the participant [610, 630, Fig. 12].
- 7. As to claim 5, Chaar further teaches that the enterprise workflow [120, 130, Fig. 1] includes at least one process flow lane [140, 150, Fig. 1] for each active participant, and the action of the workflow is determined by messages sent to and received from each of said active participants or a process flow lane of said active participant.
- 8. As to claim 6, Chaar further teaches that the enterprise workflow sends and receives messages directly from the participant [col.3, lines 54-56].

Application/Control Number: 09/785,862 Page 4

<sup>a</sup> Art Unit: 2154

9. As to claim 7, Chaar further teaches that the enterprise workflow sends and receives messages from the participant workflow [col.4, lines 3-7].

- 10. As to claim 8, Chaar further teaches that the enterprise workflow sends and receives messages from the participant workflow via a collaboration enabler authorized to communicate with the collaboration server [130, 110, Fig.16].
- 11. As to claim 9, Chaar further teaches that said integration mechanism allows the enterprise workflow to modify an active participant workflow process [col.19, lines 13 32].
- 12. As to claims 10-13 and 15-20, since the features of these claims can also be found in claims 1-3, 5-9, 11 and 15, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11 and 15 above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C..103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

\* Art Unit: 2154

14. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaar et al.(hereafter "Chaar")[U.S. Pat. No. 5960404], as applied to claims 1-3, 5-

13 and 15-20 above.

15. As to claims 4 and 14, Chaar does not specifically teach the enterprise workflow

is stored in the collaborative server and that the enterprise workflow and the participant

workflow may interact through exchange of XML data.

However, Chaar et al. taught that a special-purpose performer takes the role of

collaborating work distribution among a plurality of task performers, wherein each

performer is also a workflow server containing a workflow template respository [e.g.,

1230, Fig. 12, or 1310, Fig. 13A]. It is obvious that, in order to keeping track of all the

participants' statuses, the collaborative server must have a workflow repository

containing copies of the enterprise and participant workflow.

Further, Chaar et al. taught that the task request and response could be

transferred as mailed messages using Internet protocol [col.22, lines 9-16]. Thus it is

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that XML or HTML are obvious document

formats for the exchange of workflow information.

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

Notani

[U.S. Pat. No. 6119149];

Art Unit: 2154

Flores et al. [U.S. Pat. No. 6073109];

Boden et al. [U.S. Pat. No. 5930512]; and

Cloud et al. [U.S. Pat. No. 5634127].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-4875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)872-9306 for official communications; and

(703)746-5516 for status inquires draft communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Wen-Tai Lin

June 10, 2004

Wen Jast